

The Big Dinner

Multiplication with the Ratio Table

Catherine Twomey Fosnot





firsthand An imprint of Heinemann A division of Reed Elsevier, Inc. 361 Hanover Street Portsmouth, NH 03801–3912 firsthand.heinemann.com

Offices and agents throughout the world



Harcourt School Publishers 6277 Sea Harbor Drive Orlando, FL 32887–6777 www.harcourtschool.com

ISBN 0-153-60570-7

© 2007 Catherine Twomey Fosnot

All rights reserved.

Except where indicated, no part of this book may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means, including information storage and retrieval systems, without permission in writing from the publisher, except by a reviewer, who may quote brief passages in a review.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data CIP data is on file with the Library of Congress

ISBN 0-325-01018-8

Printed in the United States of America on acid-free paper

11 10 09 08 07 ML 1 2 3 4 5 6

Acknowledgements

Literacy Consultant

Nadjwa Effat-Norton Childhood Education, City College of New York

Photography

Herbert Seignoret Mathematics in the City, City College of New York

Contents

Unit Overview
Day One: PRICING THE INGREDIENTS
Day Two: BUYING THE TURKEY
Day Three: CHARTS FOR THE GROCER—TURKEY
A minilesson supports automatization of the basic facts by highlighting number relationships. The investigation and math congress focus on the ratio table and grouping strategies based on distributivity.
Day Four: CHARTS FOR THE GROCER—APPLES AND CARROTS
A minilesson further supports automatization of the basic facts by focusing on number relationships. The investigation offers more experience with the ratio table and grouping strategies based on distributivity.
Day Five: CHARTS FOR THE GROCER—APPLES AND CARROTS
A minilesson focuses on the use of doubling and partial products with the basic facts. A math congress focuses on the generalization of the distributive property.
Day Six: CHARTS FOR THE GROCER—POTATOES
A minilesson, investigation, and math congress all encourage use of the distributive property over subtraction.
Day Seven: PLAYING WITH NINES
A minilesson, investigation, and math congress all focus on the patterns that emerge when calculating with the number nine.
Day Eight: BUYING THE GROCERIES
A minilesson and investigation support students in becoming more flexible in using the distributive property over subtraction.
Day Nine: COOKING THE DINNER
A minilesson introduces doubling and halving as a helpful strategy for automatizing the basic facts. The investigation encourages the use of various strategies developed in the unit.
Day Ten: COOKING THE DINNER
A minilesson supports the generalization of the doubling and halving strategy. A math congress gives students a chance to share and discuss their work from Day Nine.
Reflections on the Unit
Appendix A–G:
Posters and recording sheets





Unit Overview



The focus of this unit is the development of multiplication, including automatizing the facts, using the ratio table, and developing the distributive property with large numbers. The unit begins with the context of the preparation for a big turkey dinner. This story context sets the stage for a series of investigations. First, students investigate the cost of a 24-pound turkey, selling for \$1.25 per pound. As the unit progresses, students develop t-charts for the grocer that list the prices of turkeys of various sizes and of various amounts of apples, carrots, and potatoes. Students then use these charts to calculate the total cost of all the ingredients, working with the ratio table and the distributive property. The unit culminates in an investigation to determine how long the turkey should cook, at fifteen minutes per pound.

Several minilessons for multiplication are also included in the unit. These use strings of related problems as a way to explicitly guide learners toward computational fluency with whole-number multiplication and to build automaticity with multiplication facts by focusing on relationships.



Note: This unit also incorporates aspects of the measurement strand as students calculate the cost and cooking time of the turkey (and other ingredients). If your students have not had prior experience with measuring weight and time, you should do several measurement activities first. Such activities might include weighing a variety of things in pound units and determining how many minutes there are in an hour (ensuring that students understand that 15 minutes is a quarter of an hour and 30 minutes is a half).

The Mathematical Landscape

documented different Research has that mathematical models have different effects on mental computation strategies: base-ten blocks may support the development of the standard algorithms, while the hundred chart supports the development of strategies such as counting by tens. The open number line is better aligned with students' invented strategies and it stimulates a mental representation of numbers and number operations that is more powerful for developing mental arithmetic strategies. Students using the open number line are cognitively involved in their actions (Beishuizen 1993; Gravemeijer 1991). In contrast, students who use base-ten blocks or the hundred chart tend to depend primarily on visualization, which results in a passive "reading off" behavior rather than cognitive involvement in the actions undertaken (Klein, Beishuizen, and Treffers 2002).

Traditionally, the array model has been used for multiplication. Research by Battista (1998), however, suggests that this model is often difficult for learners to understand because it requires a substantial cognitive reorganization to be able to coordinate rows and columns simultaneously-and thus an understanding of arrays goes through successive stages of development. Further, students' early strategies for multiplication are more representative of repeated addition and skip-counting-strategies that are often better represented on a number line and/or with a ratio table, such as a t-chart. For these reasons, this unit incorporates the open number line and the ratio table, rather than the array. Your students' understanding of the array model can be developed with the unit *Muffles' Truffles*—a nice unit to use right after this one.

BIG IDEAS

This unit is designed to encourage the development of some of the big ideas related to multiplication, including:

- unitizing
- the distributive property of multiplication (over addition and over subtraction)
- * the commutative property of multiplication
- the place value patterns that occur when multiplying by the base
- proportional reasoning

* Unitizing

Initially students think about multiplication additively. They use repeated addition or skip-count. To really think multiplicatively, students have to think about the group as a unit. Making the group a unit is called unitizing. When students are first introduced to multiplication, most have just reached solid ground with counting. They do know what six is; it is a group of six objects. And they know what three is; it is a group of three objects. But to think of 3 x 6, they have to cognitively reorganize everything they know about number. They have to think of the group of six as one unit because they need to make three sixes. To a young learner, this makes no sense. In fact, it is a contradiction. Something can't be six and one at the same time!

The distributive property of multiplication (over addition and over subtraction)

The distributive property of multiplication over addition—knowing that factors can be broken up and distributed to make partial products, which can then be added together to produce the product of the original factors—is one of the most important ideas about multiplication. It is the reason that the standard pencil-and-paper algorithms for multiplication and division work, and its use for mental arithmetic is powerful as well.

Algebraically the distributive property is represented as a(b + c) = ab + ac. For example, 4×19 can be solved by decomposing the 19 into 10 and 9. Two partial products can now be made from 4×10 and 4×9 . Adding those products, 40 + 36, produces the answer to 4×19 . The 19 can also be distributed in other ways, such as 12 and 7, or the 4 can be distributed into two groups to make $19 \times 2 + 19 \times 2$. In these examples we distributed addends and so the partial products had to be added, making use of the distributive property of multiplication over addition. Nineteen can also be thought of as 20 - 1. Now, the partial products can be found by calculating 4×20 and 4×-1 . This produces 80 - 4, which also is equivalent to 4×19 . In this case, we have used the distributive property of multiplication over subtraction.

For students, this property of multiplication can be quite difficult to construct. Understanding that 6×4 means six *groups* of four—not 6 *plus* 4—can itself be elusive until students construct unitizing. And now, with the distributive property, the challenge is even greater. Students have to think of making groups out of the groups! Students need much time to explore, arranging and rearranging the parts, in order to develop this big idea. This unit gives students many opportunities to explore these ideas.

The commutative property of multiplication

Multiplication is also commutative: $a \times b = b \times a$. Picture four trains, each made with nineteen connecting cubes. Now imagine them right next to each other so they make a 4×19 array. If we turn this array ninety degrees, we have a 19×4 array nineteen trains with four cubes in each. Using arrays like this is exactly what students do to convince each other of the commutative property. They often call it the turn-around rule.

* The place value patterns that occur when multiplying by the base

 that we can think of the ten groups of four as four groups of ten—so the 4 is placed (bumps over) into the tens column. It is important to support students in exploring why this pattern happens—to help them construct how place value is involved.

* Proportional reasoning

Proportional reasoning is very difficult for young learners, and yet it is the hallmark of genuine multiplicative thinking. If one car uses 4 tires and we want to know how many tires are needed for 6 cars, the thinking involved is about proportionality. If 4 tires are needed for 1 car, 8 are needed for 2, and 24 are needed for 6. Often multiplication has been taught as simple repeated addition, and the proportional reasoning-the essence of multiplicative thinking-has been sacrificed. Repeated addition is only one of several ways to think of multiplication. This unit is designed to develop multiplicative thinking not only by using repeated addition models, but by bridging them with proportionality with the use of the ratio table (in this unit the ratio table takes the form of a t-chart for the grocer).

STRATEGIES

As you work with the activities in this unit, you will notice that students will use many strategies to derive answers. Here are some strategies to notice:

- using repeated addition
- skip-counting
- * using partial products
- using ten-times
- using a t-chart or ratio table
- doubling and halving

* Using repeated addition

Often the first strategy students use to solve multiplication problems is repeated addition. This is because they are viewing the situation additively, rather than multiplicatively. To solve 6×4 (how many tires needed for six cars), students will write 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 and then add to find the total.

Repeated addition should be seen as a wonderful starting place in the journey, but not as the endpoint. As you confer with students you will need to help them keep track of the groups, and you can encourage more efficient grouping (such as turning the six fours into pairs, resulting in three eights).

* Skip-counting

The struggle to keep track of the groups usually pushes students to skip-count. Although they are still thinking about the situation additively rather than multiplicatively, they keep track of the groups mentally and skip-count. To figure out how many tires are needed for six cars, they would say 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24.

* Using partial products

The first shift to multiplicative thinking occurs when students begin to make partial products—they use a fact they know to make another. For example, they might reason that if 5 cars use 20 tires, and 2 cars use 8 tires, then 7 cars must need 28 tires. Here they are finally making the group a unit (unitizing) and adding it or subtracting it as needed. The big ideas underlying this strategy are unitizing and the distributive property.

* Using ten-times

Once students begin to make use of partial products, an important strategy to encourage is the use of the ten-times partial product. This can be very helpful for the nine-times table (just subtract one group from ten-times), for the five-times table (half of the product of ten-times), and for double-digit multiplication. Of course this strategy is helpful only if students have constructed an understanding of the place value patterns that occur when multiplying by the base.

* Using a t-chart or ratio table

The situations in this unit promote use of the ratio table as a tool to foster the efficient use of partial products. The first strategy you will probably see is a doubling strategy. If you double the number of cars, then you need to double the number of tires. This strategy is very helpful in some cases, such as when groups double, but it is not sufficient for all cases, such as when numbers are not as friendly. Students can also use the ratio table to make partial products (employing the distributive property) and add them. For example, if 5 cars use 20 tires and 2 cars use 8 tires, 7 cars will use 28 tires.

* Doubling and halving

As students' multiplicative reasoning becomes stronger, they develop the ability to group more efficiently. They begin to realize that if you double the number of groups and want the total to be the same, you need to halve the amount in each group: $4 \times 6 = 8 \times 3$. This strategy can be generalized to tripling and thirding, or quadrupling and quartering, etc. The big idea underlying why these strategies work is the associative property of multiplication. In multiplication, the factors can be associated in a variety of ways. For example, $4 \times (2 \times 3) = (4 \times 2) \times 3$. These strategies are introduced and explored toward the end of this unit, but the associative property is not a primary focus of this unit. The unit in this series that develops the associative property deeply is The Box Factory. Doubling and halving can be revisited and generalized in that unit.

MATHEMATICAL MODELING

Two models are developed in this unit: the open number line and the ratio table. The open number line is used to illustrate repeated addition strategies and the relationship of equivalent expressions, such as 6×4 and 3×8 . It is assumed that students have had experience with computation represented on number lines. If this is not the case, but they are familiar with measuring units such as a yard or meter stick, you might prefer to use those models.

The ratio table is also developed in the unit. No prior experience with it is needed as it will emerge from the situation of preparing t-charts for the grocer. With the ratio table model, students are supported in envisioning partial products and in using them to calculate other products. As the unit progresses, the ratio table model is used to support the development of the generalization of the distributive property.

Models go through three stages of development (Gravemeijer 1999; Fosnot and Dolk 2002):

- * model of the situation
- model of students' strategies
- * model as a tool for thinking

* Model of the situation

Initially models grow out of modeling the situation. For example, in this unit the ratio table emerges as charts for the grocer—charts that help customers calculate the cost of turkeys, carrots, potatoes, and apples, all of which are sold by the pound.

Chart for Turkey

Number of Pounds	Cost
1	\$1.25
2	\$2.50
4	\$5.00

* Model of students' strategies

Students benefit from seeing the teacher model their strategies. Once a model has been introduced as a model of the situation, you can use it to model students' strategies as they explain their thinking. If a student says in solving 4×6 , "I doubled and halved. I made it 2×12 ," you can use the number line and draw the following:



If you are working with a t-chart and a student calculating $4 \times$ \$1.25 says, "I knew the cost of 2 pounds was \$2.50. Four pounds is double, so I doubled the cost," you might represent the calculation as follows:

Number of Pounds	Cost
$\times 2 \subset \frac{2}{4}$	\$1.25 $$2.50 > \times 2$ $$5.00 > \times 2$

Another student in calculating $6 \times 1.25 might say,"I knew 2 pounds costs \$2.50 and 4 pounds costs \$5.00

so I added them together to get the cost of 6 pounds." Here you can represent the partial products as follows:

Chart for Turkey					
Number of Pound	Cost				
1	\$1.25				
~ 2	\$2.50				
+ _4	\$5.00-/+				
× 6	\$7.50				

After you've represented the strategy on the t-chart, you might also want to write:

$2 \times 1.25	+	$4 \times 1.25	=	$6 \times 1.25
\$2.50	+	\$5.00	=	\$7.50

Representations like these give students a chance to reflect on and discuss each other's strategies.

* Model as a tool for thinking

Eventually students become able to use these models as tools to think with—to prove and explore their ideas about multiplicative and proportional reasoning.

Many opportunities to discuss these landmarks will arise as you work through this unit. Look for moments of puzzlement. Don't hesitate to let students discuss their ideas and check and recheck their strategies. Celebrate their accomplishments they are young mathematicians at work!

A graphic of the full landscape of learning for multiplication, with a box highlighting the focus of this unit, is provided on page 11. The purpose of the graphic is to allow you to see the longer journey of students' mathematical development and to place your work with this unit within the scope of this long-term development. You may also find the graphic helpful as a way to record the progress of individual students for yourself. Each landmark can be shaded in as you find evidence in a student's work and in what the student says—evidence that a big idea, landmark strategy, or way of modeling has been constructed. In a sense you will be recording the individual pathways your students take as they develop as young mathematicians!

- Battista, Michael T., Douglas H. Clements, Judy Arnoff, Kathryn Battista, and Caroline Auken Bomsn. 1998. Students' spatial structuring of 2D arrays of squares. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education* 29:503-32.
- **Beishuizen, Meindert.** 1993. Mental strategies and materials or models for addition and subtraction up to 100 in Dutch second grades. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education* 24:294–323.
- Dolk, Maarten, and Catherine Twomey Fosnot. 2005a. Fostering Children's Mathematical Development, Grades 3-5: The Landscape of Learning. CD-ROM with accompanying facilitator's guide by Sherrin B. Hersch, Catherine Twomey Fosnot, and Antonia Cameron. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

——. 2005b. *Multiplication and Division Minilessons, Grades 3–5.* CD-ROM with accompanying facilitator's guide by Antonia Cameron, Carol Mosesson Teig, Sherrin B. Hersch, and Catherine Twomey Fosnot. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann. ——. 2005c. *Turkey Investigations, Grades 3–5: A Context for Multiplication.* CD-ROMs with accompanying facilitator's guide by Antonia Cameron, Sherrin B. Hersch, and Catherine Twomey Fosnot. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

- Fosnot, Catherine Twomey, and Maarten Dolk. 2002. Young Mathematicians at Work: Constructing Multiplication and Division. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- **Gravemeijer, Koeno P. E.** 1991. An instructiontheoretical reflection on the use of manipulatives. In *Realistic Mathematics Education in Primary School,* ed. Leen Streefland, chapter 3. Utrecht, Netherlands: Freudenthal Institute.
- . 1999. How emergent models may foster the constitution of formal mathematics. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning* 1 (2): 155–77.
- Hersh, Reuben. 1997. *What Is Mathematics, Really?* London: Oxford University Press.
- Klein, Anton S., Meindert Beishuizen, and Adri Treffers. 2002. The empty number line in Dutch second grade. In *Lessons Learned from Research*, ed. Judith Sowder and Bonnie Schapelle, chapter 6. Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.